

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,114 07/09/2003		Jian-gang Weng	200208154-1 2605		
22879 7.	590 02/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT P	ACKARD COMPAN	KEBEDE,	KEBEDE, BROOK		
P O BOX 2724	00, 3404 E. HARMON	Y ROAD			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLIN	NS, CO 80527-2400		2823		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/617,114	WENG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brook Kebede	2823	

Advisory Action	10/617,114 WENG ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner Art Unit		
	Brook Kebede	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		<u>-</u>	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applications application of the application of the application (3) a Request (41.31; or (3) a Request	cation in st for Continued
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires on:	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7: Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee action: or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appel was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing the	he Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beto 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	to form for appear by materially re	ducing of simplifying t	ile issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (see the attachment in Paragrap 1). (See			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-28,33 and 34</u> wc canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ould be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	mendment
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-28.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 29-32.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s))
		George For Primary Exa	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/617,114

Art Unit: 2823

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Advisory Action

1. On cursory consideration, the request for reconsideration and the proposed amendment, which has not been entered, does not clearly appear to overcome the rejections of claims 29-32.

The Examiner has given full consideration of the amendment filed on January 31, 2005 after Final Office Action of December 1, 2004. However, the proposed added limitation(s) in claim 29 would require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, the amendment presents additional claims, i.e., claims 35 and 36, without canceling finally rejected corresponding number of claims as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.116 and § 41.33(a). Therefore, the amendment does not place the whole application in condition for allowance.

In addition, there is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.

2. Claims 1-28, 33 and 34 would be allowable if applicants submitted a timely filed amendment by canceling the non-allowable claims.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicants' arguments filed on January 31, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claims 21-28 and 34, applicants' argument is considered moot in view of the indicated allowability of claims 21-28 and 34 as set forth in Paragraph 2 above.

With respect to claims 35 and 36 applicants' argument is moot because the argument is based on the proposed new claim that was not part of the rejected claim and which has not been entered. Further in response to applicants' argument that "claim 35 is claim 25 rewritten in

Art Unit: 2823

independent form and claim 36 is identical to claim 26...," it is respectfully submitted that claims 26 and 36 are not identical. If the claims were identical, claim 36 would have violated the rule as set forth under 37 CFR § 1.75(b).

With respect to claims 29-32 applicants' argument is not persuasive because claims 29 and 30 as amended would have been rejected by the combination of Hirari and Kian et al., as applied for claims 21-24, 27, 28, 31, 32 and 34 in the Office action of December 1, 2004 that would have resulted new ground of rejection for those claims. Furthermore, the allowable subject matter (i.e., pattering the semiconductor solution-processed thin film active region and the dielectric solution-processed thin film isolation via laser ablation) that incorporated into claim 21 by the way of amendment of January 31, 2005 is not contained in claims 29-32.

Correspondence

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,114

Art Unit: 2823

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BK

February 7, 2005